



## The Sustainable Airport Master Plan (SAMP) ISSUES AND COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)

Make YOUR voice heard. Below are some key issues raised by the SAMP Environmental Assessment. For each, we've added a sample comment to the Port of Seattle that can be adopted/expanded/borrowed/edited - in any way you see fit. To use *this* form, just initial any comments you want to adopt, provide your name and sign, and send to the Port at:

- Attach online: <https://www.airportprojects.net/sampntpenvironmentalreview/comment-form/>
- Email: [SAMP@portseattle.org](mailto:SAMP@portseattle.org) Emily Bitalac at [Bitalac.Emily@epa.gov](mailto:Bitalac.Emily@epa.gov)
- US Mail: Steve Ryboldt, Port of Seattle, Aviation Environment and Sustainability, PO Box 68727 Seattle, WA 98168

ISSUE	COMMENT ON DRAFT EA	INITIAL
<b>Geography</b>	The SAMP EA is flawed because the General Study Area's "Area of Potential Effect" (APE) is arbitrarily drawn tightly around the airport. It fails to address the impacts from 87,000 additional flights over communities outside the APE.	
<b>Cumulative impact</b>	The SAMP EA is flawed because it fails to properly include cumulative impacts outside the APE from past, present, and future aircraft operations over our communities. Dating back to the opening of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> Runway, EA fails to assess what will be the cumulative impact of over 200,000 additional annual overflights.	
<b>Failure to include new science in analysis of harms from aircraft noise and air pollution</b>	The EA fails to take a hard look at the impacts of 87,000 new flights outside APE by failing to include known science, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2020 Community Health and Airport Operations Related Noise and Air Pollution: Report to the Legislature in Response to Washington State HOUSE BILL 1109 ("Public Health Study")</li> <li>• 2020 Study of the Current and Ongoing Effects of the Operation of Sea-Tac International Airport, by Stantec</li> <li>• 2019 University of Washington report titled the Mobile Observations of Ultrafine Particles Study</li> <li>• 2018 World Health Organization Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region ("WHO Study")</li> </ul>	
<b>Increased Night Flights</b>	The EA projects 208 nightly flights by 2034. The EA is flawed because it does not include existing science that has found night flight noise causes significant cardiovascular disease.	
<b>Environmental Justice</b>	The problems created by the EA's restricted APE, flawed cumulative impact analysis, and failure to include known noise and pollution science are exacerbated for near-airport environmental and social justice communities.	
<b>Children and elderly communities</b>	EA fails to fully address the known noise impacts on childhood cognitive health and learning and elderly communities.	
<b>A full EIS versus a limited EA</b>	Common sense, science, cumulative impacts, a hard look, and the controversial nature of the SAMP all require a full EIS.	

**Please include my above-initialed comments on/for the SAMP draft EA.**

Name:  
Address:  
E-mail:

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_